

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21649 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2000 Thana- NATWAR District- Rohtas

1. TEJAN SINGH @ TEJ BAHADUR SINGH @ TEJ NARAYAN SINGH S/O DURWASHA SINGH Resident of Village- Dharhara, P.S.- Natwar, District- Rohtas.
2. BHAJAN SINGH S/O DURWASHA SINGH Resident of Village- Dharhara, P.S.- Natwar, District- Rohtas.
3. DHANJI SINGH @ DHANANJAY SINGH S/O BAJRANGI SINGH @ BAJRANG BALI SINGH Resident of Village- Dharhara, P.S.- Natwar, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shruti Sinha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2023 Heard Mrs. Shruti Sinha, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Shailendra Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Natwar P.S. Case No. 62 of 2000, dated 08.08.2000, registered under Sections 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that 20-25 persons armed with deadly weapons started firing on the person of the informant and his brother. However, they managed to save their life.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submit that the allegation as alleged against the petitioners above named are general and omnibus and rendered similar allegation against 20-25 persons. Petitioners have criminal antecedent and to that extent statement has been made in paragraph-3 of the bail application. Neither the informant nor his brother have received any injury and on these ground he seeks for release of the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. He has submitted that petitioners have criminal antecedent and do not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Having considered the rival submission made by the parties as well as the fact that allegation of firing is against 20-25 persons. The allegation is under Section 307 of the I.P.C., however, no specific allegation has been made against the petitioners that they had assaulted the informant as well as his brother, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned



A.C.J.M.-IV, Bikramganj, Rohtas in connection with Natwar P.S. Case No. 62 of 2000, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The Court below is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application, this order will automatically loose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

pravinkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

