

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7493 of 2016

=====

Anil Kumar Singh Son of Late Balmiki Prasad Singh, Resident of Village -
Chak Ahmad Hazipur Road,P.S. - Sadar, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar at Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Tirhut Range, Muzaffarpur.
3. The District Magistrate - cum - District Collector, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Circle Officer, Sahebganj Anchal, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nachiketa Jha,
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Apurva Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following reliefs:-

“1(i). Increment amount in salary dues from
16.6.92 to 31.8.97.

(ii). Out of 203% dearness allowance 13% dues
for July 1998 till today.

(iii). 10% Interim assistance amount dues from
April 1998 to November 2002.

(iv). Dues dearness allowance from July 2001 to
April 2002.

(v). On the basis of Pay Revision of 3350 dues
amount was paid but amount has not been paid
according to amended pay revision.

(vi). Increment in salary from June 2000 to
November 2000 is dues.

(vii). Dues Amount of First A.C.P. from
17.06.2000 to 28.02.2006 has not been paid



(3200-85-4900).

(viii). 34 days salary of strike period from January 2009 to February 2009 has not been paid as well as difference salary of rest days.

(ix). Dues salary of strike period of August and September 2010.

(x). Out of five installments of 6th Pay Revision two installments has been paid three installments are dues.

(xi). Amount of increment in salary from July to October, 2012 has not been paid.

(xii). Dues amount of increment in salary of July 2009.

(xiii). 5 days salary dues in the year, 2014, from 9.3.2014 to 11.3.2014 and 19.3.2014 to 20.3.2014.

(xiv). Out of 7 ½ % on house allowance 2 ½% has not been paid from August to December, 2009.”

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition, to which the learned counsel appearing for the State has got no objection.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

