

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22517 of 2013

=====

Mahipat Ram S/O Ram Khelaban Ram, Resident Of Village- Loma, Police
Station- Tisiauta, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Food Corporation Of India through its General Manager Food Corporation of India, Regional Officer, Exhibition Road, Patna-80001.
2. The General Manager, Food Corporation Of India, Regional Office, Exhibition Road, Patna.
3. The Executive Director (East Zone) Food Corporation Of India, 10A, Middleton Row Kolkata- 71 W.B
4. The Area Manager, Food Corporation Of India, District Office, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nirmal Kumar, Advocate
For the FCI : Mr.Prabhakar Tekriwal, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*"That this is an application for
issuance of a writ in the nature of
certiorari to quash the order dated
30.8.2006 by which the Respondents
imposed the penalty of recovery of
Rs.50,000.00 with-holding of two
increments of pay for the year 2007
and 2008 and also quashed the order
dated 27.10.08 by which the*



appellant authority has affirmed the order dated 30.8.2006 passed by the disciplinary authority in most arbitrary manner when the punishment is major in nature and during the physical verification the petitioner has not taken over the charge of Shed No.6 (Depot) and without initiating the departmental proceeding punishment has been imposed and/or any other appropriate writ or writs on which the petitioner is entitled for relief as per Food Corporation of India Regulation Act/Rule which is admissible to the petitioner and not to interfering with the said deducted amount and withhold of two increments of pay for the year 2007 and 2008 and pay the said amount with statutory interest and cost of writ application save and except in accordance with the law."

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of his subsisting grievances, if any.



Liberty, so sought, is granted.

The writ petition stands disposed off as not
pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

