

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6452 of 2016

=====

Kailash Raut son of Late Kumar Raut, Resident of village- Gowardhana, P.O. Kehunia, Police Station- Sikarpur, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Department of Education Secondary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Bihar School Examination Board Senior Secondary, Bihar through its Secretary.
5. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board Senior Secondary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board Senior Secondary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. The District Education Officer, West Champaran, Bihar.
8. The College Managing Committee through the Secretary Pt. Kedar Pandey Memorial Inter College, Narkatiyaganj, West Champaran.
9. The Principal, Pt. Kedar Pandey Memorial Inter College, Narkatiyaganj, West Champaran.
10. Akhilesh Pratap Sahi, Assistant Librarian, Pt. Kedar Pandey Memorial Inter College, Narkatiyaganj, West Champaran

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Kishun Prasad, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, AC to GP-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-10-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For holding that the petitioner is working on the sanctioned post of the Library Assistant in Pt. Kedar Pandey Memorial Inter College (hereinafter referred as ‘college’).

(ii). For direction to the respondent authority for



payment of amount of grant in aid given to the college to the petitioner as per the guidelines issued by the Government of Bihar.

(iii). For direction to the respondent authority to take step in light of inquiry report of District Education Officer with regard to the respondent no. 9, as the post of Librarian has already been abolished by the Board by notification.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the present writ petition.

3. Hence, the present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

