

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15061 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-337 Year-2022 Thana- DAUDNAGAR District- Aurangabad

Vikash Kumar @ Vikash Yadav @ Phool Kumar @ Ful Kumar S/O Lalan
Yadav Resident Of Village- Nalaband Toli Daudnagar, P.S.- Daudnagar
District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vipin Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 25-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under sections 302, 34
of the Indian Penal Code.

As per prosecution case, the allegation against the
accused persons including the petitioner is that they
committed murder of the informant's son by means of knife
blow. It is further alleged that the when the informant
reached at the place of occurrence, the deceased stated the
petitioner and co-accused persons have inflicted him by
knife blow.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence and the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely on the basis of suspicion. He has got no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 21.06.2022.

Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that the petitioner along with his three associates committed murder of the deceased by inflicting knife blows. The deceased himself, in injured condition has, apprised his father that the petitioner was amongst the person, who assaulted him by means of knife.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of stabbing to the informant's son, resulting his death, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and



conclude the same within four months, failing which the
petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Manishkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

