

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13635 of 2016

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-686 Year-2010 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

-
1. Karmdeo Singh, Son of Late Jayram Singh
 2. Kewalapati Devi @ Kelapati Devi, wife of Karmdeo Singh
 3. Vikas Singh @ Bablu @ Bablu Singh, Son of Karmdeo Singh
 4. Sonu Singh, son of Karmdeo Singh
 5. Gudiya, Daughter of Karmdeo Singh All are residents of village- Senuar,
P.S.- Kargahar, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Usha Devi, wife of Rajesh Singh @ Dablu and Daughter of Subash Singh,
Resident of village- Baraon, P.S.- Nokha, District- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rajani Kant Singh
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad- APP
	Mr. Subash Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-09-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
counsel for the complainant and learned APP for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
the present quashing application has been filed seeking quashing
of the order dated 05.02.2016 passed by learned S.D.J.M.,
Sasaram, Rohtas in Complaint Case No.686 of 2010, Tr. No.632
of 2016, whereby the learned S.D.J.M., Sasaram has rejected the
petition dated 21.05.2015 filed on behalf of the petitioners
seeking discharge under Section 245 of the Cr.P.C.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly, at the outset, submits that charges have been framed. It is next submitted that though charges have been framed, but till date, not a single witness has appeared.

4. The learned Additional P. P. submits that since charges have been framed that signifies commencement of the trial.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Additional P. P., the Court is not inclined to interfere with the order by which discharge application of the petitioners has been rejected.

6. Thus, the quashing application is dismissed.

7. However, the learned trial Court is directed to ensure that the trial is completed expeditiously and preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/ production of a copy of this order.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

