

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.569 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10046 of 2022

=====

Baban Kumar Choudhary, Son of Laxmi Choudhary, Resident of village-
Jagatpur, P.S. - Rahika, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Director General, Sashastra Seema Bal (SSB) R.K. Puram, New Delhi.
2. The Inspector General, Frontier Headquarters, SSB, Silliguri, West Bengal.
3. The Deputy Inspector General, Sector Headquarter, SSB, Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.
4. The Commandant, 17th Battalion, SSB, Falakata, West Bengal.
5. The Second-in-Command, 17th Battalion, SSB, Falakata, West Bengal.
6. The Deputy Commandant (Medical), 17th Battalion, SSB, Falakata, West Bengal.
7. The Assistant Commandant (Medical), 53rd Battalion SSB, Simalbari, West Bengal.
8. The Inspector General, Frontier Headquarter, SSB, Patna, Bihar.
9. The Deputy Inspector General, Sector Headquarters, SSB, Muzaffarpur, Bihar.
10. The Commandant, 51st Battalion, SSB, Sitamarhi-II, Bihar.
11. The Second in command, 51st Battalion, SSB, Sitamarhi-II, Bihar.
12. The Adjutant, Deputy Commandant, 51st Battalion, SSB, Sitamarhi-II, Bihar.
13. The Inspector (Administration), 51st Battalion, SSB, Sitamarhi- II, Bihar.
14. The then Professor and HOD, Psychiatry, SKMCH, Muzaffarpur, Bihar.
15. The District Magistrate, Madhubani, Bihar.
16. The Commandant, 71st Battalion, SSB, Piparakothi, Motihari, Bihar.
17. The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Madhubani, Bihar.
18. The Officer-in-charge, Rahika Police Station, Madhubani, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Baidya Nath Thakur, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anashya Bahadur Mathur, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 26-07-2023 1. For non-compliance of the court's peremptory



order dated 12-09-2022, the writ application bearing *C.W.J.C. No. 10046 of 2022* was dismissed.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of due diligence, the same could not be carried out due to a communication gap between his office and the petitioner.

3. The said submission is accepted as sufficient cause for restoration. *C.W.J.C. No. 10046 of 2022* stands restored to its original file.

4. The instant restoration application is allowed.

5. The petitioner would be at liberty to remove the defects, pursuant to the order dated 12-09-2022 passed in *C.W.J.C. No. 10046 of 2022* , within two weeks.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shashank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

