

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4378 of 2023

=====

Raj Kumar Late Shiv Shankar Mahto Resident of Village- Purhara, P.S.-
Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar the Secretary, State Election Commission, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, State Election Commission, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Magadh Division Gaya, District- Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate-cum-District Election Officer, District- Aurangabad.
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Aurangabad, District- Aurangabad.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
7. The Block Development Officer, Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
8. Md. Furkan Khan, Son of Late Moein Khan Resident of Village- Raghunathpur, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad, at present Mukhiya of Panchayat Raj Purhara.
9. Amresh Kumar, Son of Radheshyam Singh Resident of Village- Purhara, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
10. Ankit Kumar, Son of Rangbahadur Singh Resident of Village- Birhara, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
11. Kaniyak Kundan, Son of Birendra Prasad Resident of Village- Birhara, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
12. Kishori Sharan, Son of Late Bipat Rai Resident of Village- Purhara, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
13. Balkeshwar Ram, Son of Late Jangbahadur Paswan Resident of Village- Birhara, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
14. Bindu Singh, Son of Late Rajendra Singh Resident of Village- Purhara, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
15. Tarik Anwar, Son of Jainuddin Khan Resident of Village- Rajunathpur, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
16. Diwakar Kumar, Son of Ram Naresh Sharma Resident of Village- Raghunathpur, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
17. Tunna Yadav, Son of Ram Lakhan Yadav Resident of Village- Birhara, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
18. Prayag Sao, Son of Ramchandra Sao Resident of Village- Raghunathpur, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Chandra, Advocate
Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate



For the State : Mr. Satya Vrat, AC to GP 10
For the S.E.C. : Mr. Girish Pandey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-12-2023

Heard Mr. Anil Chandra, along side Mr. Amit Kumar, learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Satya Vrat, learned AC to GP 10 for the State and Mr. Girish Pandey, learned counsel for the State Election Commission.

2. In absence of any statement with respect to the date of notification of the election and the objection which was raised by the petitioner before respondent no.2 on 10.09.2022, this Court is incapacitate to exercise its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

3. Petitioner may avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

4. With aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	05.12.2023
Transmission Date	NA

