

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4357 of 2022**

- 
- 
1. Uttam Kumar Mitra Son of Late Gouri Shankar Mitra Resident of Mohalla / Village - Karhariya, P.S. Banka, District- Banka.
  2. Md. Tohid Ansari Son of Abdul Jalil Ansari Resident of Village - Bidaydih, P.S. - Banka, District- Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, National Child Labour, Planning Committee, Labour and Employment Ministry, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
3. The District Magistrate, Banka.
4. The Assistant Director, Child Labour Planning Committee, (Bal Sramik Pariyojna Samitti), Banka.
5. The District Land Acquisition Officer - cum- Senior Officer, National Child Labour Planning (Rastriya Bal Sramik Pariyojna Samiti), Banka.
6. National Child Labour Planning committee, Banka -cum- Labour Superintendent, Banka.
7. The District Magistrate -cum- Chairman, National Child Planning Committee, Banka.
8. Labour Commissioner, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

---

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Rastogi (AAG-10)

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      09-11-2023                      The present writ petition has been filed  
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1.(i) For issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the Respondents to pay the salary amount dues since April, 2014 keeping in mind that petitioners discharging their duty up till now without any complaint.*



*(ii) For issuance of further writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the Respondent considered to make fund available so that due salary amounts of the petitioners be paid to the petitioners.*

*(iii) For holding and declaration that petitioners deserve to get their dues salary amount for the works already discharged/done and still petitioners are in service."*

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

S.Sb/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

