

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44346 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3334 Year-2016 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

-
1. Subhash Sah @ Subhash Kumar Son of Hari Kishore Sah @ Hari Sah,
 2. Prem Sagar Sah @ Prem Sagar Kumar Son of Hari Kishore Sah @ Hari Sah,
 3. Raghuwan Kumar @ Raghubansh Kumar @ Raghubansh Kumar Sah Son of Hari Kishore Sah @ Hari Sah,
 4. Daya Sagar Sah, Son of Hari Kishore Sah @ Hari Sah,
 5. Hari Kishore Sah @ Hari Sah Son of Lakshman Sah, All R/o village- Faingo, P.O.- Faingo, P.S.- Mansi, District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State Of Bihar
2. Saiyad Atikur Rahman Son of Saiyad Rajur Rahman, R/o Mohalla- Fakhruddin Plaza IInd Floor, Flat No. 208, P.S.- Pirbahore , District- Patna- 800003.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ravindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 28-11-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. On query of the Court with regard to the stage of
the case, the learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly
submits that the charges have been framed, trial has commenced
and the case is at the stage of evidence.

3. The learned APP for the State, thus, submits that
since charges have been framed, trial has commenced and the
case is at the stage of evidence, as such, the Court, at this stage,



should not interfere with the trial.

4. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to entertain the quashing application.

5. Accordingly, the present quashing application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

