

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4168 of 2020

=====

Sikandar Mandal son of Muni Lal Mandal resident of Village- Pachma, P.S.-
Korha, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The District Collector, Katihar, District- Katihar.
4. The Additional District Collector, Katihar, District- Katihar.
5. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Katihar, District- Katihar.
6. The Circle Officer, Kodha Anchal, District- Katihar.
7. Manki Rabidas son of Late Bhangi Rabidas resident of Mohalla- Hirdaiganj, West of Koshi Colony, P.S. and District- Katihar.
8. Pramod Rabidas son of Late Kanhai Rabidas resident of Village- Pachma, P.O.- Marwa, P.S.- Kodha, District- Katihar.
9. Prawash Rabidas son of Late Kanhai Rabidas resident of Village- Pachma, P.O.- Marwa, P.S.- Kodha, District- Katihar.
10. Binod Ravidas son of Late Kanhai Rabidas resident of Village- Pachma, P.O.- Marwa, P.S.- Kodha, District- Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Sweta Burnwal, Advocate Mr. Ved Prakash Chandan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Raj Kishore Roy (Gp18)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-10-2023 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ application has been filed for quashing the order dated 13.05.2015 passed by Additional District Collector, Katihar passed in Mutation Revision Case No. 521 of 2013-14.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the State



submits that petitioner has statutory alternative remedy of making application before Bihar Land Tribunal against the order which are impugned.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above proposition.

5. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this writ application is disposed of with an observation that petitioner is at liberty to move before the competent authority which may be available to him in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that petitioner approaches the appropriate forum, the concerned authority/forum would have regard to the present proceeding being pursued by the petitioner, while considering any issue relating to condoning of delay, if applicable.

7. Accordingly, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Shishir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

