

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20496 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2022 Thana- RAJPUR District- Rohtas

- 
1. SARITA DEVI W/O Arun Pandey R/O Village- Nachaniya, P.S- Rajpur, District- Rohtas
  2. Binod Pandey S/O Vishwanath Pandey R/O Village- Nachaniya, P.S- Rajpur, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                        |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Advocate |
|                          | : | Mr. Uday Shankar Pandey, Advocate      |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, A.P.P.      |

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      24-06-2023                      Heard learned Senior counsel for the petitioners  
and learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 409 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleges that an amount of Rs. 12,09,839/- was transferred in the bank account of Ward Implementation and Management Committee on 10.03.2018 from which the work of *Nal Jal Yojna* had to be completed jointly by the Ward Member-cum-Chairman and Secretary, it is next alleged that from perusal of the



Measurement Book it appears that work of only Rs. 2,17,635 was done against Rs.12,09,839/-, as such, there was embezzlement of Rs. 9,92,204/-.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and have been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that the actual work was never confirmed by the Competent Authority rather the Junior Engineer for reasons best known did not record the entire work in the Measurement Book. It is next submitted petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation and will present themselves as and when required by the investigating officer for eliciting the truth.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rajpur P.S. Case No. 93 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

