

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6341 of 2023

-
-
1. Arvind Kumar Pandit Son of Sri Gunsagar Pandit, resident of Village - Itwa, P.O. - Kasimpur, P.S. - Goradih, District - Bhagalpur.
 2. Ramu Yadav, Son of Prayag Yadav, resident of Village - Bisanpur, P.S. - Bijaipur, P.O.- Musahari Bazar, District- Gopalganj.
 3. Hemant Kumar, Son of Sri Vijay Choudhary, resident of Village - Mansarpur, P.O. - Fatehpur, P.S. - Zero Mile, District - Bhagalpur.
 4. Md. Akram Alam, Son of Abdul Latif, resident of Village - Nagar Parishad, Ward No. 18, Momin Tola, P.S. - Supaul, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Department of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, General Administrative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uday Bhan Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raj Kishore Roy (GP-18)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-11-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1.(i) For issuance of appropriate writ/order/direction in the nature of Certiorari, commanding the respondents to quash the notice contained in letter no. 82/2019-385 dated 10.02.2021 issued under the signature of land, record and survey, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, whereby and whereunder final selection



list of Amin has been published and appointment letters to the selected candidates have been issued accordingly, on the ground that some of the candidates have been selected/appointed who have possessed the Diploma from the same institution from where the petitioners have obtained Diploma but petitioners candidature were rejected on the ground that the institution is not recognized whereas their candidature has been accepted.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of their aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

