

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14002 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-412 Year-2022 Thana- RIGA District- Sitamarhi

=====

RAM DINESH SINGH @ DINESH SINGH S/O LATE CHEDDI SINGH
Resident of Village- Riga Tola, Pipra, P.S.- Riga, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 17-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341,
323, 324, 307, 302 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation against the petitioner along with others
is of killing the father and brother of the informant.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to
dirty village politics. He has committed no offence. There is
general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner rather the
specific allegation is against co-accused Uday Das, who
assaulted the informant's brother, due to which he succumbed to
injury and in respect of death of informant's father it is



submitted that postmortem report does not support the allegation of assault by seven persons by means of wielding knife but the postmortem report shows that the deceased sustained three knife injuries, one was found on neck and rest two on wrist and shoulder. He further submitted that the petitioner after hearing hulla went to P.O. to pacify the matter and snatched the knife from co-accused Uday Das and when the police came, petitioner handed over the knife, which has been termed as recovery by the police. There is no specific overt act against this petitioner. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail petition. He is languishing in judicial custody since 24.09.2022.

The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and submitted that petitioner is named in the FIR and it is case of doubled murdered.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Sitamarhi in
connection with Riga P.S. Case No. 412 of 2022.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

