

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15429 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2021 Thana- BARIYARPUR District- Munger

ROSHAN KUMAR @ ANISH RAJ Son of Manoj Mandal R/V- Gandhipur,
P.s- Bariarpur, Dist- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Kamal Nayan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 05-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

This is the second attempt of the petitioner to seek bail as earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected by order dated 06.01.2023 in Cr. Misc. No. 20768 of 2022 with liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charges were framed on 22.09.2022 itself but the said fact could not be brought to the notice of the Court. It is next submitted that the trial has commenced and one witness has been examined and still five witnesses remain to be examined.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail application of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is the



husband of the deceased and since the trial has commenced as such the learned trial court be directed to expedite the trial.

Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court, for the present, is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

The learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same, if possible, within a period of nine months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

In the event, if the trial is not concluded within a period of nine months, the petitioner will have the liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi-II/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

