

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.688 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11186 of 2017

=====

Yogendra Sah @ Yogendra Saw S/o Jagdish Mistri @ Jagdish Saw, resident of Village - Harnichak, (Balmichak) Post Office-Anisabad, Police Station-Beur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary namely Mr. Deepak Kumar Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, namely Mr. Chanchal Kumar, Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Deputy Secretary, namely Mr. Deepak Kumar Sah, Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna
4. The Account General Bihar Patna namely Dr. Nilet Pal Goswami
5. The Chief Engineer (South Bihar Sub-Division) Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna namely Bhimchandra Gautam
6. The Superintendent Engineer, namely Mr. Amit Ram, South Bihar Circle, Building Construct Department, Patna
7. The Executive Engineer namely Mr. Umesh Mandal, Central Division Commissioner, Building Construction Department, Patna
8. Treasury Officer, namely Mr. Vinay Kumar, Secretariat Treasury Visheshwariya Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ratneshwar Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Amit Prakash (Ga13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-07-2023

1. Though the present petition has been filed complaining about the disobedience of the order of this Court dated 17.04.2018, passed in CWJC No.11186 of 2017, but the learned counsel for the contemnors has referred to the show-cause, which has been filed today itself in the Court and has



been taken on record that the order of this Court has been complied with, inasmuch as the representation filed by the petitioner has been disposed off by a reasoned order dated 18.02.2020, a copy thereof has also been sent to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the entire retiral dues of the petitioner has already stood paid and he is getting pension regularly.

2. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the contemnors, I deem it fit and proper to dispose off the present contempt petition, however, with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities in case of any subsisting grievance.

3. The petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	10.07.2023
Transmission Date	NA

