

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.490 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15586 of 2022

=====

Abhay Kumar Singh Son of Jagdish Prasad Singh Resident of Village and
P.O.-Derwan, P.S.-Kudra, District- Kaimur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Deptt. Bihar.
2. Mr. Vinay Kumar, Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Khadya Bhawan, Daroga Rai Path, R Block, Road No. 2, Patna-1.
3. Mr. Rajni Kant, General Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Khadya Bhawan, Daroga Rai Path, R Block, Road No. 2, Patna-1.
4. Mr. Rajiv Kumar Singh, District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Dist.- Kaimur (Bhabhua).

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amrendra Narayan Raim, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Alok Ranjan, AC to AAG-5
For the BFSC	:	Mr. Anjani Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 25-09-2023 The contempt is filed on the ground that the direction in the judgment is insofar as the District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. being directed to have the petitioner's case settled and ensure early decision and the disbursement of the petitioner's legitimate dues payable. It was also directed that the matter would be



considered within a period of two months, failing which a cost of Rs. 5,000/- would be paid to the petitioner.

2. The Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. has filed a counter affidavit in which Annexure-A is produced, declining the claim. Annexure-A is dated 14.01.2023, within the two month period.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner had only asked for admitted dues. However, it has to be noticed that the direction was only for consideration and not for payment of dues.

4. If the petitioner is aggrieved with Annexure-A he will be at liberty to challenge the same. There is no deliberate contempt of the directions issued, and in such circumstances, we close the contempt case reserving the above liberty.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

aditya/-

U			
---	--	--	--

