

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.347 of 2022

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19414 of 2018

-
-
1. Krishna Murari Agrawal, Son of Ramjee Prasad, Resident of Mohalla - Surya Path, Chandmari Road, Distt. Patna, Bihar.
 2. Vijay Kumar, Son of Chandara Deo Prasad, Resident of Mohalla - Surya Path, Chandmari Road, Distt. Patna, Bihar.
 3. Devendra Kumar, Son of Ram Chandra Kushwaha Resident of Mohalla - Surya Path, Chandmari Road, Distt. Patna, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Akhlesh Kumar Jain, The Chairman, the Bihar State Board of Religious Trust, near Museum, Patna.
3. Dr. Chandrashekhar Singh, The District Magistrate, District - Patna, Bihar.
4. Mr. Manavjit Singh Dhillon, The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna, Bihar.
5. Mr. Navin Kumar, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Patna Sadar, Distt. - Patna, Bihar.
6. Mr. Jitendra Pandey, The Circle Officer, Patna Sadar, Distt. - Patna, Bihar.
7. Mr. Ravi Shankar Singh the S.H.O., Kankarbagh Police Station, Patna.
8. Mr. Ram Narayan Partiyast, The Manager, Baba Bhikhaman Das Thakurbari, Trust Samiti, Surya Path, Chandmari Road, Kankarbagh, Patna.
9. Nawal Kishore Prasad, Son of Late Ram Lagan Prasad, Resident of Mohalla - South Chandmari Road, Kankarbagh, Distt. - Patna.
10. Awadh Kisore Prasad, Son of Late Ram Lagan Prasad, Resident of Mohalla - South Chandmari Road, Kankarbagh, Distt. - Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma (AAG-3)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER



(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

3 31-08-2023 The present M.J.C. is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 21.11.2019 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 19414 of 2018.

2. This Court has directed the concerned authority to take necessary steps for ejectment of unauthorized encroachment. The concerned authority proceeded to decide the Encroachment Case on 20.06.2023 and the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner. In such an event, there is no question of further proceedings in the contempt petition. Scope of contempt petition is limited to the extent whether the directions of this Court have been complied or not? If the petitioner is not satisfied, he has a remedy elsewhere.

3. Accordingly, the present M.J.C. - contempt petition stands dropped, reserving liberty to the petitioner to initiate appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

shoaib/-

U			
---	--	--	--

