

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5342 of 2020

Kaushlya Devi Wife of Sri Chandradeo Prasad, D/o Late Yadunandan Prasad
@ Yadu Ram, R/o Village Daudnagar, Mohallah Patwatoli, Ward No. 18/19
P.S. Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Aurangabad.
3. The Additional Collector, Aurangabad.
4. The District Officer cum Land Encroachment Officer, Aurangabad.
5. Project Engineer of Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation Limited, Magadh Division, Gaya.
6. Executive Engineer of Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation Limited, Magadh Division, Gaya.
7. Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
8. Anchal Adhiari (C.O.) Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
9. Halka Karamchari, Anchal Office, Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
10. Manaki Prasad Son of Late Yadunandan Prasad @ Yadu Prasad, R/o Village Daudnagar, Budhu Bigha Road, Ward No. 15/16 P.S. Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
11. Rama Shankar Prasad, Son of Late Yadunandan Prasad @ Yadu Prasad, R/o Village Daudnagar, Budhu Bigha Road, Ward No. 15/16 P.S. Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
12. Most Tetari Devi, W/o Late Nand Kishore Prasad, daughter in-law of Late Yadunandan Prasad @ Yadu Ram, R/o Village Daudnagar, Budhu Bigha Road, Ward No. 15/16 P.S. Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
13. Jitani Devi, Wife of Munnilal Prasad, D/o Late Yadunandan Prasad @ Yadu Prasad, R/o Village Daudnagar, Near Neck Buwa (Mahabir Chabutara) P.S. Daudnagar, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha (Sc19)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 30-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that liberty may be given to the petitioner to file fresh



application before the competent authority for redressal of his grievance raised in the present writ petition.

3. In view of nature of prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner file an application before the competent authority within a period of six weeks from today.

4. In the event, such application is filed by the petitioner within the said stipulated period, it is expected that the competent authority shall pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law after hearing the parties, preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of the application.

5. It goes without saying that if any question of limitation arises before the competent authority, the same shall be considered taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner was pursuing the issue before this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

6. Writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid direction.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

