

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3721 of 2023

1. Mrs. Archana Sinha, Wife of Bir Bahadur Singh, Resident of- Flat No 105, Pravin Memorial Plaza, C.D.A. Colony, Near Kaushilya Apartment, North Shastrinagar, Police Station- Shastrinagar, District- Patna, Pin No- 800001.
2. Mrs. Gargi Kailita, Daughter of- Mr. Chinta Haran Kalita, Resident of- 301 B Magadh Batika Apartment Ara Garden Lane, Police Station- Rupaspur, District- Patna Pin- 800014 (Bihar).
3. Sadanand Satyandra Sharma, Resident of B 2/10, I.G.I.M.S. Campus, Shekhpora, Police Station- Shastri Nagar, Town- Patna, District- Patna.
4. Asha Bharti, W/o- Bikash Kumar, Resident of- C/o Dr. B. Rai, Flat No- 301, Niwas Plaza- 2, Vidyapuri Colony, Malahi Pakri, Police Station- Patrakar Nagar, District- Patna.
5. Soni Kumari, W/o- Rajesh Kumar, Resident of- Telecom Colony, Gola Road, P.S.- Rupaspur, District- Patna, Pin- 801503.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. Additional Chief Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Shekhpora, Patna.
4. The Director, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Shekhpora, Patna.
5. The Dy. Director (Administration), Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Science, Shekhpora, Patna.
6. The Chief Administrative Officer-cum- Member Secretary, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Shekhpora, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr.Chitranjan Sinha, Sr. Advocate Mr.Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate Mr.Bipin Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Binod Kr. Yadav, SC18
For I.G.I.M.S.	:	Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 10-08-2023 1. Heard learned senior counsel for the

petitioners and learned counsel for the State, as well as learned



counsel for the respondent-Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences (hereinafter referred as “Institute”).

2. The petitioners who are tutors appointed in the year 2011 in the respondent-Institute have approached this court with a grievance that they are not being made available the financial progression in terms of the Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme (hereinafter referred as “MACP Scheme”).

3. It is submitted by learned senior counsel for the petitioners that a provisional list was created for the same on 20.01.2022 which has subsequently also been acted upon but till date, the benefits of financial progression of MACP Scheme remains elusive to the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-Institute submits that on 24.08.2023 the competent authority in the institute is to consider the claim of all eligible persons and the entitlement is likely to be finalized.

5. In view of such development subsequent to filing of the instant writ petition, the writ petition is disposed of.

1. This court, however, would consider it appropriate that since the respondents have fixed a date as 24.08.2023, the authorities should proceed expeditiously within



a limited time frame, preferably within a period of three months.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shashank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

