

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14055 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2022 Thana- KINJAR District- Jehanabad

=====

PINTU YADAV @ AMARJIT YADAV @ AMARJEET KUMAR Son of
Chandradeo Singh @ Chandradeo Yadav R/v- Mirjapur, P.S.- Kinjar, Dist-
Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nitya Nand Neeraj, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akshay Lal Pandit, APP.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER**

2 12-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 494, 341, 323, 354,
379, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant
Kiran Kumari and the petitioner had solemnized marriage at
Sun Temple, Kinjar. After some time, some differences were
arisen between them for which she lodged a case against him.
It is further alleged that her husband performed second
marriage with one Reeta Kumari. Thereafter, when the
informant went to her matrimonial house, the petitioner along
with other accused persons abused and assaulted her. Co-



accused Mahesh Yadav snatched her golden chain.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. No such occurrence as alleged ever took place. He has been falsely implicated in this case with a view to harass and demoralize the petitioner. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that the informant is legally wedded wife of one Mantu Yadav, which is also evident from her voter list. Petitioner has no concern with the informant. He further submits that the informant has also filed a case Arwal Mahila P.S. Case No. 04 of 2018 against the petitioner but the petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 55690 of 2018 dated 20.09.2018. Petitioner has one criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, as there is no specific overt act against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Kinjar P.S. Case No. 64 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

