

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12128 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-257 Year-2014 Thana- DINARA District- Rohtas

PRASHANT KUMAR @ ANUPAM SINGH SON OF TEJ BAHADUR
SINGH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- JAMRORH, P.S.- DINARA, DISTRICT-
ROHTAS

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. ARTI SINGH WIFE OF ANUPAM SINGH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
JAMRORH, P.S.- DINARA, DISTRICT- ROHTAS

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

- 2 03-10-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly
submits that charges have been framed and the trial has
commenced.
3. The learned APP for the State, thus, submits that
since charges have been framed and the trial has commenced, as
such, the Court should not interfere with the trial at this stage.
4. Considering the submission made by the learned
APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to entertain the
quashing application.
5. Accordingly, the present quashing application
stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

