

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3969 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16240 of 2016

=====

Amit Kumar Son of Late Bhola Swarnkar, resident of Mohalla- Rakabganj
Main Road, Tekari, New Mahabir Asthan, P.S. Tekari, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Deepak Kumar, the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Chaitnaya Prasad, Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Smt. Sujata Chatuvedi, Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Arun Kumar Singh, Secretary Cabinet Vigilance Department, (Economic Offences) Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Sri Bharat Jha, Director Urban Local Bodies, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. Sri Jitendra Srivastava, Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
7. Sri Abhishek Singh, District Magistrate, Gaya.
8. Sri Manoj Kumar, Sub-Divisional Officer, Tekari.
9. Sri Uday Kumar, Teari Nagar Panchayat through its Executive Officer, P.S. Tekari, District- Gaya.
10. Sindhu Jain Wife of Sanjay Kumar Jain, Resident of Mohalla- Rakabganj, P.S. Tekari, District- Gaya, Ex- Chairman, Tekari Nagar Panchayat, Gaya.

... .. Opposite Parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Raghwanand, GA-11.
		Mr. Prabhat Kumar, AC to GA-11.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

9 04-12-2023 The present M.J.C./Contempt Petition is filed for
non-compliance of the order of this Court dated 11.12.2017



passed in C.W.J.C. No.16240 of 2016.

2. Certain action has been taken pursuant to the aforementioned order while punishing two officials on 02.08.2019. So far as another official is concerned, the State counsel has no instruction.

3. In view of these facts and circumstances, the present M.J.C./Contempt Petition stands dropped reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned authority if there is inaction insofar as the third official is concerned, he may make representation. If such representation is submitted, the concerned authority shall examine and redress the grievance of the petitioner.

4. With the aforesaid observation, the present M.J.C./Contempt Petition stands dropped.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

P.S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

