

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3633 of 2023

=====

Suman Devi, Wife of Mantu Tiwari, Resident of Village- Ubhav, P.S.-
Chenari, Dist- Rohtas (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, State Panchayati Raj Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
4. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Rohtas.
5. The Block Development Officer, Chenari, Rohtas.
6. Srimati Mira Devi, Pramukh, Chenari Block, Rohtas, Wife of Amit Paswan,
Resident of Village- Chorhi, P.S.- Chenari, District- Rohtas.
7. The Block Panchayat Rajya Padadhikari, Kargahar, Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Rashmi Ranjan, AC to SC-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 22-08-2023

Heard Mr. Sanjay Kumar, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner. The State is represented by
Ms. Rashmi Ranjan, learned AC to SC-7.

2. The grievance of the petitioner related to
discrimination in allotment of funds in developmental scheme
with respect to different Panchayats at the block level, as the



bulk of schemes up to 70 to 80 per cent have been allotted to one particular Panchayat and rest of the Panchayats are left out in the lurch and in the process, the residents of other Panchayats are denied the benefits of developmental works in complete violation of the guidelines issued by the Government from time to time.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the State submits that for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has never approached before any authority and, as such, no writ of mandamus can be issued without their being any lawful demand and its refusal by the authority concerned.

4. Considering the nature of the grievance and submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court direct the petitioner to approach before the District Magistrate, Rohtas for ventilating his grievance by filing an exhaustive representation bringing on record the entire facts and the guidelines issued by the Panchayati Raj Department.

5. Needless to observe that if such representation is filed within a period of four weeks' from today, the District Magistrate, Rohtas would be under obligation to consider and dispose of the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of filing of such representation along with a copy of this order.



6. With the aforesaid, the writ application stands
disposed of.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	23.08.2023
Transmission Date	NA

