

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19584 of 2018

=====

Ranju Devi Wife of Buchchi Sah, Resident of Village-Gadhiya, Post-Rasalpur, P.S. Nauhatta O.P Darhar, District-Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The State Election Commissioner, Bihar, Patna through its Secretary
4. The Secretary, State Election Commissioner, Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate-Cum-District Election Officer Panchayat, Saharsa.
6. The District Planning Officer, Saharsa
7. The Block Development Officer-Cum-Block Election Officer Panchayat, Nauhatta, District-Saharsa.
8. The Assistant Election Officer, Nauhatta, District-Saharsa.
9. Devaki Devi, Wife of Pitambar Mahto, Resident of Village P.O. Rasalpur, P.S. Nauhatta O.P. Darhar, District-Saharsa.
10. Anita Devi, Wife of Shravan Shah, Resident of Village-Lalpur, P.S. Nauhatta O.P. Darhar, District-Saharsa
11. Amarika Devi, Wife of Soti Thakur, Resident of Village-Noula, P.S. Nauhatta O.P. Darhar, District-Saharsa
12. Punam Devi, Wife of Vidyanand Mistri, Resident of Village-Noula, P.S. Nauhatta O.P. Darhar, District-Saharsa.
13. Pramila Devi, Wife of Raj Kumar Mistry, Resident of Village-Noula, P.S. Nauhatta O.P. Darhar, District-Saharsa.
14. Ratali Devi, Wife of Bhaiyan Mukhiya, Resident of Village-Noula, P.S. Nauhatta O.P. Darhar, District-Saharsa
15. Rita Devi, Wife of Phuleswar Shah, Resident of Village-Noula, P.S. Nauhatta O.P. Darhar, District-Saharsa
16. Sanju Devi, Wife of Manoj Das, Resident of Village P.O. Rasalpur, P.S. Nauhatta O.P. Darhar, District-Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms. Archana Meenakshee -GP-6
For the S.E.C	:	Mr. Girish Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned



counsel for the State and learned counsel for the State Election Commission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by efflux of time, the present writ application has become infructuous thus, seeks permission to withdraw the present writ application.

Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, the present writ application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Adnan/Harsh

U			
---	--	--	--

