

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3866 of 2023

M/s Neelam Construction and Company A Proprietorship Firm through its Proprietor Sri Birendra Singh, aged about 66 years (Male), Son of Late Ram Pyar Singh, Resident of Village- Mujrarh, P.S.- Nokha (Dharampura, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief-cum-Additional Commissioner-cum-Special Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief Engineer, South Bihar, (Yaa) Upbhag, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Road Construction Department, Bhojpur Road Anchal, Ara.
6. The Executive Engineer, Road Construction Division, Dehri-On-Sone, District- Rohtas.
7. The Assistant Engineer, Road Construction Department, Bikramganj, Rohtas.
8. The Junior Engineer, Road Construction Department, Bikramganj, Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sushil Kumar (Gp 22)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

2 29-03-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though part of the payment claimed by the petitioner has already been released by the respondents, but some part of the amount along with interest has not been paid.

If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he may approach the tribunal for raising of his grievances.



Granting such liberty to the petitioner, the writ
petition is dismissed as not maintainable on the ground of
alternate efficacious remedy.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Gauravkr/-
Item No. 29

U			
---	--	--	--

