

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13431 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2020 Thana- AGIAON District- Bhojpur

Vinay Yadav @ Vinay Singh Son Of Late Lalan Singh R/O Village- Katariya
Ke Kasibadwa Tola, Ward No.05, P.S.- Agiaon Bazar, District- Bhojpur
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shankar Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Matloob Rab

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 31-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 307/34 of the
Indian penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, informant and his
brother-in-law, namely, Rakesh Kumar went to Shiv Mandir, in
the meantime, petitioner and co-accused Judge Yadav and two
other persons surrounded with common intention to kill them.
Specific allegation against the petitioner is that he shot fire
which hit to Rakesh Kumar Singh below his chest as a result of
which he become unconscious.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. There is
no repetition of blow against the petitioner. Medical treatment of



injured Rakesh Kumar Singh was done in private hospital whereas government hospital is available at every district. Petitioner is in custody since 28.09.2022.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant and submitted that during investigation, several witnesses have supported the prosecution case. Petitioner is a man of criminal nature and he has seven criminal antecedents.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. Progress report dt. 12.7.2023 suggests that six prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined and trial is likely to be concluded within eighteen months

8. The trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial within nine months, failing which the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

