

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10797 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-471 Year-2018 Thana- BHOJPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhojpur

=====

UMAKESH KUMAR @ TEMPU SON OF GORAKHNATH PRASAD R/O
VILLAGE AND P.O.- DUMARIYA, P.S.- TARARI, DIST.- BHOJPUR
(ARA)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. ANNU KUMARI @ PUTUL DAUGHTER OF HARENDRA PRASAD
R/O VILLAGE AND P.O.- BAMHWAR, P.S.- PIRO, DIST.- BHOJPUR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shatrughan Pandey, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Braj Kishore Pd.(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

8 21-03-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with
Complaint Case No. 471C of 2018 registered for the offences
under Sections 420, 498A, 452, 380 and 307 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
instant case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the
petitioner. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for
the State opposes the prayer of bail and submits that petitioner



has not made the payment of arrear amount as directed twice *vide* orders dated 19.05.2022 and 14.03.2023. It is also submitted that the petitioner is not willing to abide by the terms and conditions of the Memorandum of Agreement made on 25.07.2022 at the Patna High Court Mediation Centre. He therefore, submits that petitioner does not deserve to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Considering the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer for bail is accordingly rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

anuradha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

