

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16601 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-637 Year-2022 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

Laxmi Jha @ Sanjiv Kumar Jha @ Pandit Jha, aged about 43 years, Son Of
Late Arjun Jha @ Bhagyanarayan Jha, R/O Village And P.S.- Runnisaidpur,
District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vagisha Pragya Vacaknavi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 637 of 2022
for the offence registered under Sections 30(a), 32, 36 and
41 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that total
2604.12 liters wine is said to have been recovered from four
different vehicles in question.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent.
He has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is



no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. It is alleged that total 2604.12 liters wine is recovered from four different vehicles. None of the vehicles belongs to the petitioner. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner has transpired in the present case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr. P.C. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the order dated 13.04.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Criminal Appeal No. 626 of 2022, arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 3005 of 2022 (Sweta Kumari-Versus-The State of Bihar)*.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R/ Complaint Case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender



before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below/concerned Court, in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 637 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

