

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10443 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-561 Year-2022 Thana- KHARHAGPUR District- Munger

=====

RUPA DEVI @ RUPAM DEVI W/O RAVI SHARMA R/v- Gonai, P.S.-
Haveli Kharagpur, District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 25589 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-561 Year-2022 Thana- KHARHAGPUR District- Munger

=====

LALITA DEVI WIFE OF BALMIKI SHARMA Resident of Village - Gaunai,
P.S. - Haweli Kharagpur, District - Munger, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR, PATNA

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 10443 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md. Harun Quareshi

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Fahimuddin

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 25589 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mohammad Sufyan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

The petitioners have prayed for regular bail in a case



instituted for the offence under Sections 304B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation against the petitioners along with others is of killing the grand-daughter of the informant, due to non-fulfillment of further dowry demand as motorcycle.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. They have committed no offence. Petitioner namely, Rupa Devi @ Rupam Devi (in Cr.Misc No. 10443 of 2023) is the *Gotni* and the petitioner namely, Lalita Devi (in Cr.Misc No. 25589 of 2023) is the Mother-in-law of the deceased. He submitted that the petitioners have no concern with mess and business of the deceased as well as her husband. Petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail petition. They are languishing in judicial custody since 20.11.2022.

The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
Court below in connection with Kharhagpur P.S. Case No. 561
of 2022.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

