

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11504 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2022 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

-
-
1. ARVIND PANDEY Son of Sri Akhileshwar Pandey R/v- Belchhi, P.S.- Ariyari, Dist- Sheikhpura
 2. SUJIT KUMAR @ AJIT KUMAR Son of Sri Ashok Singh R/v PO- Pinjari, P.S.- Barbigha, Dist- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. TARUN KUMAR Son of Ramjanam Prasad R/v- Malpur, P.S.- Ghoswari, Dist- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dinkar Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 406, 467, 468, 420, 471, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, these two petitioners are only alleged to be witness to the agreement dated 26.08.2021 made between complainant and co-accused Tejmani.

It is submitted that it is not the case of the complainant that any money has been transferred into the account of these petitioners. No specific role has been attributed to these petitioners and they have got clean antecedent. Petitioners are not the beneficiaries of the alleged transaction.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Barh (Patna) in connection with Barh Complaint Case No. 96 of 2022, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

