

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15842 of 2018

=====

Ramanand Prasad Son of Late Rawat Mahto, Resident of Rewati Apartment,
Flat No.602, Kajipur Quarter, Road No.2, P.S.- Kadamkuan, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, cum District Magistrate, Vaishali, Hajipur Bihar.
4. The District Education Officer, D E O Vaishali, Hajipur, Bihar.
5. The District Programme Officer D P O Establishment, Vaishali Hajipur,
Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madanjeet Kumar -GP-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 24-03-2023

None appears for the petitioner.

2. In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for
following reliefs:-

"That this is an application for
issuance of writ in the nature of writ of
certiorari, Mandamus or any other
appropriate writ or writs, order or direction
commanding respondents and to quash the
"Tender Notice" dated 21.07.2018 issued
from the office of the D E O
(Establishment) Vaishali under the signature
of District Programme Officer (hereinafter
referred as DPO) Vaishali (Annexure 3) by
which a Tender for supply of "Panji



Prapatra" (Register and Forms) has been sought specifically from the entrepreneur carrying "Small Enterprises" as per Government approval but the respondent no. 4 and 5 have played malafide and has violated the equality clause of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India by putting terms and conditions in favour of persons carrying "Big Enterprises" and belong to different class to cause prejudice to small entrepreneurs which is completely illegal malafide and capricious in nature and is liable to be quashed by this Hon'ble Court."

3. There is no interim order in so far as tender notice dated 21.07.2018.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent on instruction submitted that process of tender notice dated 21.07.2018 has attained finality.

5. Such statement is taken on record.

6. In the light of later development, the present petition does not survive for consideration. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as has become infructuous.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

rakhi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	27.03.2023
Transmission Date	N.A.

