

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3091 of 2020

=====

Md. Kaushar Ansari Son of Md. Kashim Ansari Resident of Village Walidad
P.S. Mehendiya District- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary Co- operative Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Registrar Co- Operative Department Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate Arwal.
5. The District Co- operative Officer Arwal.
6. The Block Co- operative Officer Kaler Block, Arwal.
7. The Branch Manager Magadh Central Co-operative Bank Arwal Branch,
Arwal.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh Ac to GP 22
For the Respondent no. 7:	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 01-08-2023 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner on call

though learned State counsel as also learned counsel for

respondent no. 7, the Branch Manager, Magadh Central Co-

Operative Bank Arwal Branch, Arwal are present.

The prayer is for directing the respondent no. 7 to

open account in the name of the petitioner, the newly elected

President of Primary Agriculture Credit Society (PACS)

Walidad, Kaler, Arwal

As the learned counsel for the petitioner has chosen to



absent himself, learned counsel on behalf of the Bank (respondent no. 7) took this Court to the proceeding of the PACS as contained in Annexure-2 to the writ petition from which it is clear the earlier account which is continuing in the name of the Chairman and the Manager with the respondent no. 7, Bank was to be operated upon.

It is his contention they deviating from that, the petitioner wanted to open a fresh account in his own name and accordingly, made an application on 15.01.2022. As the same is contrary to the proceeding and already the said PACS is having an account, his application was not entertained.

This Court is convinced with the submissions put forward by the Bank. When the PACS of Walidad, Kaler, Arwal. is already having an account in the Bank and the proceeding also says the same, there was no occasion for the petitioner to open another account in his own name.

The writ petition is misconceived and is accordingly, dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Jagdish/Jyoti/-

U			
---	--	--	--

