

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10863 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-252 Year-2021 Thana- LAKHISARAI District- Lakhisarai

=====

RANJEET SINGH @ RANJEET KUMAR SINGH @ RANJEET KUMAR
SON OF LATE RAKTU SINGH R/O VILLAGE- ENGLISH WARD NO.5,
P.S.- LAKHISARAI, DISTRICT- LAKHISARAI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Manglam, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

for the Informant : Mr. Jitendra Narayan Sinha, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
26.10.2022 in connection with Lakhisarai P.S. Case
No.252/2021, F.I.R. dated 26.04.2021, for the offences
punishable under Sections 302/34 of the IPC & Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

According to prosecution case, the allegation against
the petitioner is that he took away the son of the informant and
fired upon the son of the informant and they were trying to flee
away along with the dead body of the deceased then informant
with the help of Rajiv Kumar and Ajit Singh stopped the
accused persons from taking away of the dead body of the



deceased.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis that the petitioner is father of the co-accused, namely Gaurav Kumar. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R. that there is specific allegation of firing is attributed against the son of the petitioner namely, Gaurav Kumar and the allegation against the petitioner is that he is the order giver and the informant is not an eyewitness of the alleged occurrence and the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 26.10.2022.

Learned counsel for the informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the other hand has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Lakhisarai in connection with Lakhisarai P.S. Case No. 252/2021, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

