

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13351 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1013 Year-2022 Thana- MUFFASIL District- West Champaran

1. VIJAY KUMAR RAM @ PAPPU @ PAPPU RAM Son of Late Ram Surat Ram Resident of Village- Jokatiya, P.S.- Majhaulia, District- West Champaran
2. HAKIM ALAM @ MD. HAKIM ALAM Son of Md. Alam Resident of Village- Jokatiya, P.S.- Majhaulia, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR  
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2      18-05-2023                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and  
  
learned APP for the State.

This is an application for regular bail on behalf of  
the petitioners for the offences alleged under Sections 419, 420,  
467, 468, 471, 472, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code  
registered in connection with Bettiah Mufassil P.S.Case No.  
1013 of 2022.

As per allegation, the police got a secret information  
that some miscreants had taken the premises on rent from one  
Bachchan Sah and they were engaged in cyber crime. The police  
party raided the place of occurrence. Two persons were  
attempting to flee away from the room where raid was  
conducted and they were apprehended. They disclosed their  
names as Vijay Kumar Ram @ Pappu (petitioner no.1) and  
Hakim Alam (petitioner no.2). From the pocket of petitioner no.



1, 15 ATM cards and three mobile sets and from possession of petitioner no.2, 12 ATM cards kept in his purse were recovered. On intensive interrogation, these petitioners apprised the police personnel that they had taken the premises on rent and they were engaged in cyber crime involving cheating to innocent villagers at the pretext of providing them Aadhar Cards and ATM Cards etc.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they have falsely been implicated in this case. The premises wherefrom those articles were recovered were not in possession of the petitioners.

The FIR shows that a number of incriminating articles have been recovered from the pocket and purse of the petitioners. They are also accused in other cases of similar nature.

In my view, it is not a fit case to grant the privilege of bail to the petitioners. It is accordingly rejected.

**(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)**

HR/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

