

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15045 of 2016

Om Prakash Gupta Son of Late Amla Prasad Resident of Sikta Bazar, P.O. Sikta, P.S. Sikta District West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector, West Champaran.
3. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Narkartiaganj, District West Champaran.
4. The Land Reform of Deputy Collector, Narkatiaganj, District West Champaran.
5. The Circle Officer, Block Sikta, District West Champaran.
6. The Superintendent of Police, District West Champaran.
7. The Officer-in-Charge/S.H.O., P.S. Balthar District West Champaran.
8. Gagan Manjhi @ Jagan Manjhi Son of Shravan Manjhi
9. Sohar Manjhi Son of Gagan Manjhi @ Jagan Manjhi
10. Sunil Manjhi Son of Gagan Manjhi @ Jagan Manjhi
11. Bunni Lal Manjhi Son of Gagan Manjhi @ Jagan Manjhi
12. Laxaman Manjhi Son of Sajjan Manjhi
13. Rajendra Manjhi Son of Sajjan Manjhi
14. Gaya Manjhi Son of Shravan Manjhi
15. Sitaram Manjhi Son of Prasad Manjhi
16. Sitaram Manjhi Son of Nathuni Manjhi
17. Moti Manjhi Son of Doda Manjhi
18. Yogendra Manjhi Son of Birchha Manjhi
19. Sikandar Manjhi Son of Mangal Manjhi
20. Kanahiya Manjhi Son of Mangal Manjhi
21. Deonath Manjhi Son of Surat Manjhi
22. Jagarnath Ram Son of Munshi Ram
23. Ramesh Manjhi Son of Dhora Manjhi
24. Godhan Manjhi Son of Not Known Respondent No. 8 to 24 are Resident of Village- Balthar, P.S. Balthar, District West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajeet Kumar Bhardwaj,Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Dhurjati Kumar Prasad,GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER



2 05-04-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1.That this is an application for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, commanding the respondents to remove the encroachment from the agricultural land on road side situated in Village-Balthar, P.S. Balthar, District-West Champaran bearing Khata No. 391, Khesra No. 840, Rakwa- 5 Katha 7 dhur which has been encroached by the Respondent Nos. 8 to 24.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies, as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/sonal-

U			
---	--	--	--

