

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9421 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-38 Year-2014 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

=====

Santosh Yadav @ Santosh Kumar Yadav S/o Suresh Yadav R/o Village-
Mahmana, P.S.- Tekari, Distt- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Paras Nath

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 03-05-2023 Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP

for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Tekari

P. S. Case No. 38 of 2013, registered for the offences

punishable under Sections 272 and 290 of the Indian Penal

Code and Section 47(A) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise

Act.

As per allegation, 125 litres of country made liquor

was recovered from an open space.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this

case. He further submits nothing has been recovered from



the conscious possession of the petitioner nor he has been arrested from the place of occurrence.

He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 06.11.2022.

It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has earlier been made accused in two other cases.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

However, Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Exclusive Special Judge, Excise Court No. 2, Gaya, in connection with Tekari P. S. Case No. 38 of 2013 on the following conditions:



(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not get hampered on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the



petitioner.

(vi) In case, the petitioner repeats offence of similar nature after enlargement on bail, his bail-bond will be cancelled by the court below.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

skm/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

