

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.40 of 2018

1. Rama Shankar Choudhary @ Ram Shanker Yadav
2. Sri Ram Yadav @ Siya Ram Yadav,
3. Birendra Yadav, Sons of Late Gopal Choudhary, Residents of Village- Kabilaswa, P.O.- Pachafera, P.S.- Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Angad Choudhary Son of Late Raj Bali Choudhary,
2. Dhananjay Kumar Yadav, Son of Angad Choudhary, Both residents of Village and P.O.- Brindaban, P.S.- Uchakagaon, District- Gopalganj.
3. Sangeeta Devi, Wife of Tun Tun Yadav and Daughte of Angad Yadav, Resident of Village- Chandan Tola, P.O.- Nawada, P.S.- Barauli, District- Gopalganj.
4. Most Ekma Kuwar, Wife of Late Chandrika Yadav,
5. Dharmanath Yadav,
6. Ram Naresh Yadav,
7. Srikant Yadav, Sons of Late Chandrika Yadav,
8. Ramawati Devi, Wife of Kashi Nath Yadav and Daughter of Late Chandrika Yadav,
9. Guddu Devi, Wife of Brijesh Yadava and Daughter of Late Chandrika Yadav, Resp. no. 4 to 9 residents of Village- Kabilashwa, P.O.- Pachfera, P.S.- Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
10. Basudeo Yadav, Son of Jagarnath Yadav, Resident of Village- Tikampar Tola Bhadwar, P.O.- Bhatpar, P.S.- Bhatpar, District- Deoria U.P.
11. Singashan Yadav, Son of Late Manki Yadav, Resident of Village- Jagdishpur, P.O.- Bhatpar, P.S.- Bhatpar, District- Dewaria U.P.
12. Sudarshan Yadav,
13. Amar Nath Yadav, Sons of Late Bindeshwari Yadav,
14. Most. Tejiya, Wife of Late Bindeshwari Yadav,
15. Hare Ram Yadav,
16. Hare Krishna Yadav,
17. Rana Pratap Yadav, Sons of Late Chandrama Yadav,
18. Most. Sharda Kuwar, Wife of Late Chandrama Yadav, Resp. no. 12 to 18 residents of Village- Kabilaswa, P.O.- Pachfera, P.S.- Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Navin Nikunj
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Dharamveer



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

9 02-11-2023 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners have sought for the following reliefs:-

i. To set aside the order dated 18.08.2017 passed by Sub-Judge 7, Gopalganj in T.S. No. 423 of 2013.

ii. To reject the petition dated 18.11.2014 filed by the intervenors/respondents 1st set to be impleaded as defendants in the suit.

3. There is no illegality in the impugned order. If the petitioners have any dispute with regard to the newly added defendants, they may file amendment application, if so advised, and if such an amendment application is filed that will be dealt in accordance with law by the Court below.

4. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Saif/-

U			
---	--	--	--

