

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2876 of 2023

=====

Dhananjay Kumar Son of Late Ajay Kumar Singh, Resident of Village-
Maksudpur, Karariya Tole, Lalgarh Chhawani, P.S.-Shyampur Bhatahan,
District- Sheohar. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms,
Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Sheohar
3. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Sheohar
4. The Anchaladkhari, Dumari Katsarai, Sheohar.
5. Devanand Singh Son of Late Chandra Shekhar Prasad Singh Resident of
Vilalge- Maksudpur, Karariya Tole, Lalgarh Chhawani, P.S.-Shyampur
Bhatahan, District-Sheohar.
6. Narmadeshwar Singh Son of Late Chandra Shekhar Prasad Singh Resident
of Vilalge- Maksudpur, Karariya Tole, Lalgarh Chhawani, P.S.-Shyampur
Bhatahan, District-Sheohar.
7. Abhay Kuamr Singh Son of Late Umashankar Singh Resident of Vilalge-
Maksudpur, Karariya Tole, Lalgarh Chhawani, P.S.-Shyampur Bhatahan,
District-Sheohar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ritesh Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

5 12-09-2023 Learned counsel seeks permission to file the

vakalatnama on behalf of the private respondent nos. 5 and 7 in

course of the day through soft copy today itself and shall deposit

the hard copy thereafter.

2. Permission granted.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel

for the State and learned counsel for the private respondent nos.

5 and 7 are present.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

present writ petition has been filed for measurement of his land.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that written counter affidavit has been filed by which it transpires that the measurement has been made with regard to Measurement Case No. 20 of 2022-23 and as such there is no need of continuation of the present writ petition as the prayer made in the writ petition has been completed and grievances has been settled.

6. Counsel appearing for private respondent submits that the dispute between the parties was also decided by court of Depute Collector Land Reforms in Land Dispute Case No. 4 of 2020-21 against which appeal was also preferred and the said appeal has now been decided as informed by counsel for the petitioner.

7. The aggrieved party shall have liberty to challenge the said order passed in appeal before the BLT as accepted by all the parties.

8. In this background, the present writ petition is disposed off and all parties concerned are free to take legal recourse under law.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

ravishankar/-

U			
---	--	--	--