

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8683 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2020 Thana- BENIPATTI District- Madhubani

=====

NARESH YADAV, aged about 32 years (Male), S/O RAMHIT YADAV,
Resident of Village- Gangaur, P.S.- Harlakhi, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Subhash Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Benipatti P.S. Case No. 73 of 2020, corresponding to G.R. No. 573 of 2020 for the offence registered under Sections 272, 273 of the I.P.C. and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that total 405 liters wine is said to have been recovered from the Tata vehicle in question.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no allegation of tampering with



the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. It is alleged that total 405 liters wine is recovered from the Tata vehicle in question. The Tata vehicle in question does not belong to the petitioner. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner has transpired in the present case on the basis of disclosure made by the local residents. The name of the local residents, who have named the petitioner, has not been disclosed by the prosecution. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr. P.C. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the order dated 13.04.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Criminal Appeal No. 626 of 2022, arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 3005 of 2022 (Sweta Kumari-Versus-The State of Bihar)*.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ Complaint Case.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge 2nd-cum-Special Judge, Excise Act, Madhubani, in connection with Benipatti P.S. Case No. 73 of 2020, corresponding to G.R. No. 573 of 2020, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

