

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3042 of 2023

=====

Anu Kumari wife of Sri Yogesh Kumar Singh @ Chandan Singh, Resident of Village- Visunpura, Ward No. 12, P.S.- Jamo Bazar, District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Service, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Siwan.
4. The District Program Officer, Siwan.
5. The Block Child Development Officer, Badhariya, Siwan.
6. The Supervisor, Child Development Project Officer, Block- Badhariya, Siwan.
7. Gyanti Kumari, Wife of Mukesh Kumar, Resident of Village- Vishunpura, P.S.- Jamo Bazar, District- Siwan.
8. Sunaina Devi Wife of Sri Bhagwan Singh, Resident of Village- Bhopatpur, P.S.- Jamo Bazar, District- Siwan.
9. Saifun Nisha Wife of Mumtaz Ali Marhum, Resident of Village- Bhopatpur, P.S.- Jamo Bazar, District- Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Nikesh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sunil Kumar Mandal, SC- 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 24-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. The order of District Programme Officer (for brevity 'D.P.O.'), dated 24-05-2022, has been challenged. By the said order, the petitioner's claim for being selected in place of private Respondent No. 07 as *Aanganwadi Sevika* to Centre No. 337, Ward No. 12, has been disallowed.



3. It is not in dispute that the Directorate of Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) has issued guidelines for selection of *Aanganwadi Sevika/Sahayika* wherein the remedy of appeal has been provided before the Commissioner against the order of the 24-05-2022, passed by the DPO.

4. This Court is of the opinion that in view of such adequate efficacious remedy wherein all disputed issues being raised in the instant proceedings can be considered by the authorities, there is no occasion for this court to exercise its extra ordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. The petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with the guidelines.

6. Writ application is dismissed with liberty.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Raj kishore/-

U			
---	--	--	--

