

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6647 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1997 Year-2017 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
Saran

=====

SANDEEP KUMAR RANJAN Son of Ramprit Mahto @ Tahgan Mahto
Resident of House No. E-36, Road No. 13, near Children Park, Patratu
Thermal Power Station, P.S. Patratu, District – Ramgarh (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. Dimpi @ Dimpal Kumari Wife of Sandeep Kumar Ranjan Daughter of
Sarbjeeet Sinha, Resident of Village and Post Office - Anjani, P.S. Parsa,
District - Saran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nachiketa Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

12 01-09-2023

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner in this application prays for grant
of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in connection with
Trial No. 2533 of 2019 (arising out of Complaint Case no. 1997
of 2017) wherein cognizance was taken under section 498A of
the Indian Penal Code and section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition
Act.

3. As per allegation in the complaint, the accused
persons including the petitioner herein who happens to be
husband of the complainant are said to have tortured the
complainant for non-fulfilment of dowry which was by way of



an Alto car.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only on account of being the husband of the complainant. It is in fact the opposite party no.2 who deserted him for which even a Panchayati was held on 10.11.2016. Although the allegation in the complaint is of the accused persons having forced the complainant out of the house on 30.12.2016, it is after an inordinate delay that the complaint was filed on 28.6.2017.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.2.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegation in the F.I.R. including the delay in lodging of the complaint together with the attempt for reconciliation between the parties having failed and the petitioner not having any criminal antecedent, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Trial No.2533 of 2019 (arising out of Complaint Case no. 1997 of 2017) on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten



Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the
satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Chapra.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Bibhash

U		T	
---	--	---	--

