

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18901 of 2017

=====

Sita Ram Yadav son of Shri Ram Vilash Yadav resident of village -
Ghorghata, PS - Bahadurpur Fekla O.P., District - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
3. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga, District - Darbhanga.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Darbhanga, District - Darbhanga.
5. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Darbhanga Rural, District - Darbhanga.
6. The Sub-Divisional Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Sadar Darbhanga, District - Darbhanga.
7. The Circle Officer, Bahadurpur Anchal, District - Darbhanga.
8. The Station House Officer of Bahadurpur Police Station Fekla O.P., District - Darbhanga.
9. Shambhu Nath Yadav son of Late Mahendra Narayan Yadav resident of village - Ghorghata, P.S. Bahadurpur Fekla O.P., District - Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kaushalesh Choudhary, Adv. Mr. Shambhu Nath Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Navnit Kumar, AC to GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-04-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For issuance of appropriate writ(s)/orders)/direction(s) directing and commanding the respondent authorities to remove the encroachment of public land committed by the private respondent on the land, appertaining to the thana no. 639/2, khata no. 39, plot nos. 2 area about 03 acre



38 decimal situated in village-Ghorghata.

(ii). For issuance of appropriate writ(s)/order(s)/direction(s) commanding the respondents to take immediate action on the order dated 28.8.2017, passed by the Sub-Divisional Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Sadar Darbhanga, District-Darbhanga and for removing the encroachment done by private respondent on public land.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the Respondent-State has referred to paragraphs no. 10 and 11 of the counter affidavit, filed in the present case, to submit that the encroachment in question has already stood removed.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if that be the case, then the petitioner is not having any grievance, however, he be granted liberty to agitate his subsisting grievances in case the encroachment resurfaces. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

The writ petition stands disposed off

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

