

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.449 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2021 Thana- KATIHAR NAGAR District- Katihar

1. RAVI ANAND S/o Shri Dinesh Kumar Raman the then Branch Managar of erstwhile Dr. R.P. Road Branch, State Bank of India, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Path, P.O. and District- Katihar, presently posted as Deputy Managar at SBI, Katihar Bazaar Branch.
2. Sanjay Kumar Jha S/o Late Shri Yugeshwar Jha State Bank of India, the then Regional Manager, Regional Business Officer, Katihar, State Bank of India, Regional Business Office, P.O. and District- Katihar, presently posted as a Regional Manager, Regional Business Office, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand.
3. Sanjay Kumar S/o Maheshwari Prasad Deputy General Manager (B and O), State Bank of India, Administrative Officer, Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Katihar. BIHAR
2. Pawan Kumar S/o Sri Sreelal Das Residing at Driver Tola, Lal Kothi Road, P.O. and P.S.- Katihar, District- Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chitranjan Sinha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amarjeet Choudhary

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, AC to GP 4

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 7 21-09-2023
1. Heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners and learned Counsel for the State.
 2. The petitioners have filed the present writ application for quashing of the First Information Report of Katihar (Town) Police Station Case No. 04 of 2021, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420/384/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 (i) (r) and (s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.
 3. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners submits that



the petitioners are the bank officials and the main allegation in the First Information Report is that the petitioners, in order to harass the informant, have shifted the lease premises of the bank belonging to the informant to some other place. The informant also raised some dispute regarding rent of the lease premises. However, learned Senior Counsel submits that during the pendency of this application, the investigation upon the First Information Report has concluded and the police, finding no merit against the petitioners, has submitted final form, exonerating the petitioners from all the allegations levelled in the First Information Report. However, the final form is pending for its acceptance by the learned Special Court.

4. In view of the further development, I am not inclined to interfere with the present application.
5. This application is, accordingly, disposed with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court if any grievance(s) of the petitioners subsists.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	✓	T	✓
---	---	---	---

