

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 2779 of 2020

=====

Vikee Kumar Son of Late Rambriksha Ram, resident of Village- Dasaratha,
P.O.- Anishabad, P.S.- Beur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary minor Water Resources Department Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, minor Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, minor water resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Treasury Officer, Secretariate (Treasury) Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
6. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
7. Ram Dulari Devi, Wife of Late Ram Briksha Ram, resident of Village- Dasaratha, P.O.- Anishabad, P.S. Beur, District- Patna.
8. Anil Ram, Son of Late Ram Briksha Ram, resident of Village- Dasaratha, P.O.- Anishabad, P.S. Beur, District- Patna.
9. Ravi Shankar Son of Late Ram Briksha Ram resident of Village- Dasaratha, P.O.- Anishabad, P.S. Beur, District- Patna.
10. Soni Kumari, Daughter of Late Ram Briksha Ram, resident of Village- Dasaratha, P.O. Anishabad, P.S. Beur, District- Patna.
11. Guriya Kumari Daughter of Late Ram Briksha Ram, resident of Village- Dasaratha, P.O. Anishabad, P.S. Beur, District- Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kapileshwar Pd. Yadav (GP11)
		Mr. Dimpal Kumar, AC to GP11
For Accountant General	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Rai, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 14-09-2023 No one appears on call on behalf of the petitioner.

2. The learned State Counsel is filing counter affidavit.

3. Let the same be kept on record.

4. This application has been preferred for following reliefs:

(i) to issue an appropriate order/



orders, direction/ directions including a writ preferably in the nature of mandamus commanding upon the respondents to appoint the petitioner to the post of class IV employee on compassionate ground taking into the consideration that his father died in harness on 15.08.2016;

(ii) to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner with regard to the appointment against class IV post on compassionate ground as such in family members have made consent in favour of the petitioner also having no objection if appointment is made to him.

5. The petitioner wants appointment on compassionate ground which is being opposed by his own brother and the State Counsel in its counter affidavit has stated that the petitioner has filed false affidavit suppressing material facts and he has also not given the correct number of his family members and in that backdrop, his case cannot be considered.

6. Be that as it may, since no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

kiran/-

U			
---	--	--	--

