

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10679 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-331 Year-2014 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

1. DEEPAK PASWAN @ DEEPAK KUMAR @ DEEPAK KUMAR Son of Indradev Paswan @ Indi Paswan R/v- Chainsinghpatti, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.
2. VIREN PASWAN @ VIRENDRA PASWAN Son of Shatrughan Paswan R/v- Chainsinghpatti, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.
3. BIRBAL KAMAT @ BIRBAL KUMAR @ SUMAN KUMAR Son of Dukha Prasad Kamat @ Dukhran Kamat R/v- Chainsinghpatti, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.
4. SANJAY KAMAT @ SANJAY KUMAR Son of Dukha Prasad Kamat @ Dukhran Kamat R/v- Chainsinghpatti, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.
5. SAROJ YADAV @ SANOJ KUMAR Son of Mahendra Yadav R/v- Chainsinghpatti, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.
6. LAXMI YADAV @ LAKSHMI YADAV Son of Bhular Yadav R/v- Chainsinghpatti, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.
7. SHAMBHU PASWAN Son of Rajendra Paswan R/v- Chainsinghpatti, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.
8. PRASHANT KUMAR @ MANIKANT KUMAR Son of Santosh Paswan R/v- Chainsinghpatti, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nafisuzzoha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Nath Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 10-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehend their arrest in connection with
Supaul P.S. Case No.331 of 2014, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 341, 353, 337,



307, 431 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, 16 named persons including these petitioners, and 50-60 unknown person are alleged to have disrupted the traffic on Supaul-Bhapatiyahi main road for about 4 hours in protest of death of one student of their locality in road accident. It is further alleged that when they were asked to clear the traffic, some of them attacked on police party and caused hurdle in discharge of their official duty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as stated in paragraph-3 of the bail petition. It is further submitted that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners and no specific overt act is alleged against them.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, let petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned



Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Supaul in connection with Supaul
P.S. Case No.331 of 2014, subject to the conditions laid down
under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

