

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8214 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2022 Thana- SAKURABAD District- Jehanabad

Sanjeet Kumar @ Sheru Son of Bajrangi Prasad R/v- Ratni, P.S.- Shakurabad,  
District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                            |   |                    |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s       | : | Mr.Madhumay Madhup |
|                            |   | Mr.Nishikant       |
| For the Opposite Party/s : |   | Mr.Binay Krishna   |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      24-04-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Shakurabad PS  
Case No. 54 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable  
under Sections 365, 366, 354, 376 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, this petitioner with the  
help of his associates kidnapped the daughter of informant with  
intent to marry her.

It is submitted that petitioner has been falsely  
implicated in this case due to land dispute between the parties.  
Moreover, FIR has been lodged after inordinate delay of 207  
days without any plausible explanation. Entire prosecution story  
is doubtful and highly improbable that an accused person can



forcibly kidnap the daughter of informant upon a bike bring her to Patna by travelling approximately 110 Kms by road get married in Mahavir Mandir in presence of hundred of people and police officials and then live together at a place like Patna for two months. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

Learned APP however, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is specific and direct allegation of kidnapping the minor daughter of informant against this petitioner and the victim girl in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C has duly supported the prosecution case.

Considering the nature of allegation and statement of victim girl under Section 164 Cr.P.C, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

vinita/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

