

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6042 of 2018

=====

Sunil Kumar, Son of Siyaram Singh, Resident of Village- Narhat, P.S.-
Narhat, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Through Its Managing Director ,
Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. Bihar, Patna
2. The Zonal Manager, LPG, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Bihar, Patna.
3. The Territory Manager, LPG, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited Fatuha
Industrial Area, Fatuha, District-Patna
4. The Union of India, through Ministry of Petroleum, New Delhi.
5. The General Manager, LPG, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited Fatuha
Industrial Area, Fatuha, District-Patna
6. The State of Bihar through D.M. Nawada.
7. The Circle Officer, Narhat Circle, District- Nawada.
8. Rajesh Kumar, Son of Nageshwar Singh, Resident of Village- Narhat, P.S.-
Narhat, District- Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bipin Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Dhurjati Prasad GP-14
For the BPCL	:	Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Adv
		Mr. Om Prakash Kumar, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 30-01-2023

The petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s :-

“(i) For a direction to the respondent
authorities to cancel the license of LPG given to
respondent to the Respondent No.8 which has been
obtained by fraudulently and misrepresenting the
authorities with connivance of officials District



Administration and Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd.

(ii) For a direction to the Respondent Authority to held fresh advertisement for license of distributor LPG in Narhat District- Nawada.

(iii) To grant any other relief/reliefs if petitioner found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition reserving liberty to take recourse to such other remedies as are otherwise permissible and admissible in accordance with law as also represent respondent No.7, namely, the Circle Officer, Narhat Circle, District- Nawada, venting out the grievances, subject-matter of the present petition.

Prayer allowed. Liberty, as prayed for, is granted.

Without expressing any opinion on merits, leaving all questions of fact and law open, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

We expect that the appropriate authority shall consider and decide the petitioner’s application/request expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months from the date of its presentation.

Needless to say that while considering such request, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due



opportunity of hearing afforded to the parties.

If aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner shall have liberty to approach this Court by way of separate petition(s), if so required and desired.

Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

