

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2556 of 2020

-
-
1. Ramesh Prasad Singh Son of Late Ganga Singh, Resident of Village-Bankerwa, Post Office- Parsauna, Police Station- Parsa, District- Saran
 2. Tarkeshwar Nath son of Sri Chandradeep Prasad Resident of Village-Bhalwahiya, Post Office- M.Kuari, Police Station- Parsa, District- Saran
 3. Surendra Prasad son of Sri Yogendra Prasad Roy Resident of Village-Bhalwahiya, Post Office- M-Kuari, Police Station- Parsa, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna
3. Principal Secretary, General Administrative Department, Bihar, Patna
4. Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna
5. Director MASS Education, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna
6. District Public Education Officer, Saran

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Madhukar Mishra, AC to SC-16

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

- 2 22-08-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.
2. The petitioners have approached this court for regularization of their services as Non-formal Education Instructors.
3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the fact that the petitioners did not approached this Court prior to the cutoff date with respect to the



relief, by virtue of their having discharged duties as Instructors under the Non-Formal Education Scheme.

4. The said fact not being in dispute, having regard to the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *The State of Bihar and Ors. versus Prabhat Ranjan* passed in *Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 32079 of 2015* dated 26.02.2016, and the recent decision of this court in the case of *The State of Bihar and Ors. versus Harendra Mishra and Ors.* passed in *Civil Review No. 59 of 2018* following the order of the Hon'ble Apex Court, the petitioners' claim for regularization or absorption is not tenable.

5. Writ application is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SUMIT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

