

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2385 of 2023

Rabindra Kumar Mishra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashutosh Tripathy, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Ruchikar Jha, AC to SC-8
For the Respondent no.3	:	Mr. Jai Vardhan Narayan, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

2 22-03-2023

Date : 22-03-2023

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the certificate proceedings have been initiated, wherein, the petitioner has been noticed, although the petitioner has already resigned from the company in 2017.

2. This Court finds that the objections have not been filed by the petitioner in terms of **Section 9** of the **Bihar and Orissa Public Demands Recovery Act, 1914** (hereinafter referred to as **Act of 1914**). If the petitioner files such objections, he may raise all the objections which he has raised as grounds in the present petition. The same shall be examined by the authorities and the authority shall pass a speaking order. If aggrieved, the petitioner also has a remedy of an appeal in



terms of the **Act of 1914**, which he may also avail of. In the meanwhile, no perspective steps should be taken against the petitioner.

2. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Gauravkr/-
Item No. 31

U			
---	--	--	--

